Attorney Docket No.: 6270-705.501
PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: James F. Hainfeld

Serial Number: 10/658,609

Filing Date: September 8, 2003

Title: Kit For Detecting HER-2/NEU Gene By

Site-Specific Metal Deposition

Group Art Unit: 1657

Examiner: Ralph J. Gitomer

CONFIRMATION NO: 2535

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT AND APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 CFR §1.705

Sir or Madam:

	ant(s) hereby requests(s) that the Office reconsider the Patent Term Adjustment and adjust the Patent nt determination for this application.		
	This request is made AFTER the date of the Notice of Allowance;		
	This request is made ON or BEFORE payment of the issue fee.		
	OR		
	This request is made after issuance of the patent and is accompanied by a Certificate of Correction.		
	OR		
\boxtimes	This request is made within TWO months from the date of issuance of the patent.		
The statement of facts involved specifying the correct Patent Term Adjustment and the bases for the adjustment are as follows:			
37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(1). The Office is required to mail at least one of a notification und 35 U.S.C. §132 or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. §151 not later than <u>fourteen</u> the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. §111(a) or fulfilled the recof 35 U.S.C. §371 in an international application:			
	The date on which the application was filed:		
	The 14 month date on which the Office was to issue an Office Action or Notice of Allowance		
	The actual date on which the first Office Action or Notice of Allowance was mailed		
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit		

Attorney Docket No.: [Click and Type Docket No.] C:\NrPortbl\PALIB1\AG2\3094765 1.DOC

Serial No.: 10/658,609; Filed September 8, 2003

	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.			
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(2). The Office is required to respond to a reply under 35 U.S.C. §132 or to an appeal taken under 35 U.S.C. § 134 not later than <u>four months</u> after the date on which the reply was filed or appeal was taken:			
	Reply			
	The date of applicant's response to notice of rejection:			
	4 months from the date of response to the notice of rejection			
	The actual date on which the Office replied			
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit			
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.			
	☐ Appeal			
	The date on which Applicant's filed completed Appeal Brief:			
	4 months from the date of the appeal brief			
	The actual date on which the Examiner's Answer or Notice of Allowance was mailed			
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit			
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.			
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(3). The Office is required act on an application not later than <u>four months</u> after the date of a decision by the BPAI, or a decision by a Federal court where at least one allowable claim remains in the application:			
	The date of decision allowing at least one claim by the BPAI or Federal Court			
	4 months from the date of decision			
	The actual date on which the Office replied			
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit			
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.			
	37 C.F.R. $\S1.702(a)(3)$. The Office is required to issue a patent not later than <u>four months</u> after the date on which the issue fee was paid under 35 U.S.C. $\S151$:			
	The date applicant paid issue fee:			
	4 months from the date of issue fee payment			
	The actual date on which the patent issued			
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit			

Serial No.: 10/658,609; Filed September 8, 2003

	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.		
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(b). The Office is required to issue a patent within three years from the actual filing date of the application:		
	The date on which the application was filed		
	3 years from the date the application was filed		
	The date upon which the patent issued		
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit		
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.		
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(c). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance was delayed due to interference proceedings.		
	The date upon which the interference was declared		
	The date upon which the interference ended		
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit		
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.		
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(d). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the application being placed under a secrecy order.		
	The date upon which the secrecy order was issued		
	The date upon which the secrecy order was removed		
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit		
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.		
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(e). The Office is required to adjust the term of the original patent if the issuance was delayed to review by the BPAI or Federal court, if the decision reversed an adverse determination of patentability.		
	The date upon which the application was appealed to the BPAI or Federal Court		
	The date upon which the BPAI or Federal Court issued a decision reversing an adverse determination of patentability		
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit		
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.		

Serial No.: 10/658,609; Filed September 8, 2003

	37 C.F.R. §1.703. The Office is entitled to adjust the sum of the patent term adjustment for Applicant delay. However, Applicant believes that the Office incorrectly deducted patent ter adjustment.			
		Allowance under 37 C.F.R. §1.312. Applica 22, 2006. The Office responded to the Ame	r Applicant delay based on an Amendment after ant filed the Amendment electronically on December and Industry 9, 2007. The number of days and January 9, 2007 is 18 days, not 19 days. Applicant the patent term be restored 1 day.	
37 C.F.R. §1.704. The Office is entitled to reduce the period of patent term adjustment to Applicant delay:				
		Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement dated was accompanied by a statement under 1.704(d) that each item of information contained in the statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application less than 30 days prior to filing the information disclosure statement.		
		Thus, applicant should be credited day term for applicant's delay.	s which were erroneously deducted from the patent	
	§1.18(e)	ee Authorization. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the petition fee under 37 C.F.R. 1.18(e) of \$0.00 and charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this ommunication to Deposit Account No. 23-2415 (Docket No.: 6270-705.501).		
			Respectfully submitted,	
			WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI	
Dated:	_A	pril 10. 2007	By: Shirley Chen, Ph.D., Esq.	
	•		Registration No. 44,608	

650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone No.: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile No.: (650) 493-6811

Customer No.: 021971